IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLN.NO.1354 OF 2007

Suman Rasiklal Mehta
and Ors. ..Applicants

Vs.

State of Maharashtra ..Respondents

...

Mr.Saikumar Pathrudu i/b Bharati Shinde
advocate for applicants
Mr.S.R.Shinde A.P.P. for the State

...

CORAM: SMT.V.K.TAHILRAMANI,J.
DATE : APRIL 20, 2007

P.C.

1. Heard.

2. By order dated 8.3.2007 passed by learned Sessions Judge, Raigad at Alibag, the applicants were granted anticipatory bail in C.R.No.29 of 2007 of Pen Police Station. While granting anticipatory bail, each of the applicants were directed to furnish one surety of Rs.15,000/-. The applicants are seeking modification of the said condition.

3. It is stated that the applicants may be released on cash bail of Rs.15,000/- each. It is

further prayed that in the alternative, all the applicants may be allowed to furnish one surety. The said case is under Sections 420 r.w. 120-B of IPC. Hence, I am not inclined to modify the order and allow the applicants to be released on cash bail of Rs.15,000/- each in lieu of surety nor am I inclined to grant prayer that all the three applicants may be permitted to furnish one surety. At this stage, the learned advocate has submitted that applicant no.1 is over 75 years of age. Looking to this fact, I am inclined to extend the period to furnish surety till 8.5.2007. It is made clear that if the surety is not furnished within the aforesaid period, order granting bail, shall automatically stand cancelled.

4. Application is disposed of.

[Smt.V.K.Tahilramani, J.]